

Subject:	Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy: performance and progress reporting
Reason for briefing note:	To present new monitoring tools and structures for consideration and approval in order to provide oversight and governance of the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy.
Responsible officer(s):	Teresa Salami-Oru, Public Health Consultant/Service Leader
Senior leader sponsor:	Hilary Hall, Deputy Director Strategy & Commissioning
Date:	8 th August 2017

www.rbwm.gov.uk



SUMMARY

Work has been undertaken to develop a monitoring tool which adequately captures the health impact made by the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy on local residents. This paper presents the proposed impact measurement tool and proposals to revise the Strategy's overarching strategic themes to enhance future monitoring.

1 BACKGROUND





- 1.1 The Health and Wellbeing board has a statutory duty to produce a joint strategic needs assessment and a joint health and wellbeing strategy for the local population. The refreshed Strategy was published in 2016 and work has been undertaken to develop an outcomes-focused scorecard, to track progress and provide opportunity to respond to changes and emerging issues.
- 1.2 The process was underpinned by the adoption of the Council Plan in July 2017, and associated developments in performance reporting capabilities across the Council.




2 DETAILS

- 2.1 The Strategy provides a framework of 12 priorities and associated activities that are aligned to three overarching strategic themes, and is one of several key plans and strategies supporting delivery of the Council's strategic intentions as set out in the Council Plan.
- 2.2 The proposed scorecard, see appendix 1, focuses on outcome-based measures as this will more accurately measure the impact of the Strategy. The Public Health Outcomes Framework was used to determine suitable outcome-based measures for the Strategy. Outcomes Framework also enables local areas to benchmark themselves against others at a local, regional and national level.
- 2.3 It is proposed that within this review of measures, there is scope to adjust the existing strategic themes to better align with the Outcomes Framework measures as follows: (1) *Starting & Living Well*, (2) *Ageing Well*, (3) *Lifelong Mental Health*, and (4) *Supportive communities*. This approach does not alter the content of the Strategy but it enables the

existing objectives to be accommodated against these four themes for the purposes of the scorecard.

- 2.4 Appendix 1 sets out the proposed performance scorecard and illustrates how the existing 12 priorities have been accommodated under the proposed four themes. The Scorecard presents the Borough's results, benchmarked against both the South East and England, according to the following tolerance thresholds:

Best		≥ 3% better than England/South East
Good		0.1 - 2.9% better than England/South East
Some improvement required		0 – 2.9% worse than England/South East
Significant improvement required		≥3% worse than England/South East

- 2.5 The tolerance thresholds have been selected to enable easy visual oversight of performance, with the ability to identify where improvement efforts may need to be targeted and the extent of effort required. Trend arrows have been included to illustrate the borough's overall direction of travel across reporting periods: Better ; Same ; Worse .
- 2.6 The measures in the scorecard are predominantly reported on an annual basis. It is, therefore, proposed that performance against the Strategy is formally considered by the Board on an annual basis. A mid year report would also be produced summarising progress of the Strategy's delivery and including key activities undertaken and planned, key risks and issues and their mitigations.

3 SUMMARY DECISIONS

3.1 The HWB is asked to:

1. Approve the revision of the existing strategic themes to the following: (1) *Starting & Living Well*, (2) *Ageing Well*, (3) *Lifelong Mental Health*, and (4) *Supportive communities*; and the proposed alignment of the existing 12 priorities to these themes, see Appendix 1.
2. Approve the measures selected for reporting, the overall structure of the Scorecard and the benchmarking targets applied.
3. Approve the production of a formal Annual Report on performance and a mid-year progress report.

4 RISKS

4.1 None identified.